



Evergreen Neighborhood Association

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, November 10, 2021

Board Meeting by Zoom at 7:00 p.m.

Present Board Members: Tom Newton, Diana Boom, Wiley Campbell, Dave Hawley, David Pinch, Cindy Knowles, Sarah Dobbyn, Geoff Lowe, Colin Jacobs

Absent Board Members: Heidi Adler, Elisha Joyce

A. MONTHLY REPORTS

1. Treasurer's Report—Dave Hawley reported \$2,048.61 in ENA's account.
2. Approval of Minutes—September 8, 2021 board meeting minutes were approved on a unanimous vote.
3. Tree Committee—
 - a) Per Cindy Knowles, gave notes to the city that ENA did not object to Dave Hawley's tree removal due to its location and potential to come down.
 - b) Tree Committee hasn't been able to talk about the application for removal due to tree limbs falling. Dave Hawley, Diana Boom, Cindy are going to discuss further. It didn't look like the application met criteria for tree removal.
 - c) Regarding the Tree Committee process, Tom Newton gets a notice about a tree removal permit, forwards it to the board, and then Cindy, Diana, and Dave Hawley review further, and bring the results to the board for their consideration. Per Diana, the committee doesn't need to go back to the board if they approve because essentially ENA just comments, but they bring back appeal for the board to review.
 - d) Re: 728 Evergreen, Diana discussed removal of Maple as it's in a driveway expansion. Expressed sadness over cutting down Maple. Sarah Dobbyn thought there was a note on blueprint to add a new maple. Per Dianna, the committee assesses each application and comments to city staff. The staff notices the public of its decision. If the Tree Committee decides to appeal to the DRC, the committee will ask the board to approve the appeal. The same is true for decisions of the DRC, which would be appealed to the City Council.
4. Pre-app Meetings—
 - a) Per Tom, most pre-app meetings refer to building sites outside of ENA, so he hasn't forwarded many of late.
 - b) Tom noted the permit about the 258 Evergreen apartment building



Evergreen Neighborhood Association

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, November 10, 2021

c) cosmetic facelift. Tom feels we don't need to get involved because it seemed like standard facelift improvements. Details are on city website if anyone wants more info.

d) Colin Jacobs asked how the pre-app committee works with developers looking to split lots. Per Diana, they come up periodically. Perhaps one of our board members could attend the city training required to attend pre-app meetings. Per Colin, he tried to get an ADU permit and couldn't because of lot coverage requirements. He saw some that a developer proposed and the lot was split and mostly covered, so he's concerned that developers are gaining favor or getting through loopholes. Perhaps if the developer is going for a variance and there is no one to oppose, then they might get away with it. Diana thinks that ENA is able to send a comment to the meeting. Question is if the notice for a variance is posted outside property on a sign. Per Tom, in the absence of someone from ENA not being able to attend the meetings, he will still communicate the variances and we can decide as a committee if we have any comments. Tom will circulate the notice about training when it comes up and hopefully someone from our board can join.

5. Hospitality—Colin Jacobs is going to send a letter to the Windward condo/apartment complex to alert the management about ENA. Hopefully they will then share with their residents.
6. Real Estate report—Tom reviewed the real estate listings, the development on Ellis, a house on Lakewood Bay Court that has been listed and then removed, the houses marked by a developer on Ellis, and two more on Lakewood Bay and one on Cabana Lane.
7. Correspondence—per Tom, not much except for junk mail.
8. Social Media—Tom made a few posts. Please send any cool neighborhood pictures to Tom to post.

B. OLD BUSINESS / LAND USE PROJECTS / UPDATES

1. Traffic Committee—per Tom, Wiley Campbell is still putting pressure on city. The city did a few traffic surveys with some cables on Ellis and a radar device. The city's person didn't find that there was anything out of the ordinary or actionable. Wiley says it's still a polite communication with the city regarding traffic on Ellis and the hedge on 10th street. Wiley would like to add a few traffic questions to the survey. Wiley doesn't have any material updates. Per Tom, we appreciate you taking the lead on this and keeping



Evergreen Neighborhood Association

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, November 10, 2021

an eye on this situation. Diana had a question regarding the hedge on 10th. Diana thought the next step was that the city would trim the hedge and bill the property owner. Wiley thinks that the department in charge just hasn't made this a priority. Diana remembered that the first correspondence said that the hedges were alright, then they met with traffic person named Will, who said the hedges were a problem, so the city then asked that the neighbor bring the hedges down. Wiley followed up with the city about the discrepancy and will keep everyone notified. Wiley is interested to hear feedback from neighborhood regarding traffic should ENA do a survey. Diana is afraid it's going to take an accident to make the city pay attention to the hedge blind spot on 10th Street.

2. Flooding on 5th Street—Tom sent a letter (approved by the board) on behalf of the ENA to the city detailing our concerns and received an exhaustive report saying LO realizes it's a problem. However, when the residents put up the new house, they eliminated the natural drainage. Tom was impressed with the response and noted the city is working toward a plan of action.
3. Christmas Party—delayed once again due to COVID. Board will look forward to holding one next year.
4. Noise issues—Jolene Morishita was not a guest on the call as in September, so ENA does not know if there is an update on the Duke's noise that reaches her house on 6th Street.

C. NEW BUSINESS

1. Neighborhood Survey—
 - a) Tom was impressed with the survey that was accomplished in 2016, thinks we'd get similar responses should we do the survey again. Thinking we should do something shorter and more targeted. Carol Radich was the driving force behind the 2016 survey. Diana and Wiley agreed that if we do the survey again, we'd get similar response, so we indeed need to be more targeted.
 - b) Tom asked what ENA wants the focus to be. Diana will be away and not able to participate. Wiley feels traffic questions would be important. Per Tom, the city is pushing against a tri-fold survey that would generate a mailed response; would like us to do a postcard directing to a link or email.
 - c) Per Cindy, what kind of priority should we put on preserving the tree canopy in our neighborhood? Per Tom, in last survey, traffic is number one, preserving the character in light of new development, and preserving the tree canopy was number three.
 - d) Tom said we should construct the questions to get solid response that we can then take to the city regarding these issues. Per Wiley, will respond to city employee Will to see if we get our own data, would that carry any weight with the city?



Evergreen Neighborhood Association

BOARD MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, November 10, 2021

- e) Per Tom, we could ask what we'd like to see happen/not happen regarding new development.
- f) Per Cindy, would anyone be willing to do training, attend meetings, and report to committee?
- g) Per Diana, we should raise awareness about new Oregon law saying that single-family zones should allow more than single-family homes. The city is working on new code language, and there will be change that will affect the character of Evergreen.
- h) Wiley asked who will pay for the survey to be generated. Tom said our web developer could help or we could do an inexpensive form.
- i) Dave Hawley asked how many emails we have in our database. Tom responded that we currently have 302 subscribers.
- j) Tom asked if Wiley could write the traffic question, Cindy and Diana the tree question, and he will come up with the neighborhood character question.
- k) Tom thinks we should send the end of the year or the first of the year. He wants to check with the city to see if we lose our mailing should we not do one at the end of 2021.

D. ANNOUNCEMENTS / OTHER

1. Date for next meeting—Wednesday, March 9, 2022.

The meeting adjourned at 8:11 p.m.

Minutes submitted by Sarah Dobbyn